Bureau of Land Management Winnemucca District Office HRFO (W010)

Categorical Exclusion

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013- 0051-CX

Date: 1/7/2013
Lease / Case File / Serial #: N-35558
Regulatory Authority (CFR or Law): 2800
BLM Manual: 2800
Subject Function Code: 2850
Is the project located within a Preliminary Priority Habitat? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Is the project located within a Preliminary General Priority Habitat? □Yes ⊠No
Is the project located within a National Landscape Conservation System feature (NCA, Wilderness, WSA, ISA, Scenic or Historic Trails)? □Yes □No
1. BLM District Office: Winnemucca District Office
2. Name of Project Lead: Julie McKinnon
3. Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558
4. Applicant: Harney Electric Co.
5. Project Description: (briefly describe who, what, when, where, why, how) Harney Electric Co. submitted an application to renew an existing 24.9kV aerial distribution line that serves the Ashdown Mine molybdenum operation. This power line is approximately 10,694 feet in length and 20 feet wide and has been in service prior to 1982. This power line ties into an existing power line and runs south and south east to a transformer at the mine site. No new surface disturbance is proposed at this time. This right-of-way would be renewed for 20 to 30 years.
Project dimensions (length, width, height, depth): 10,694' x 20' Total Acres: 4.91 BLM Acres: 4.91
Will the project result in new surface disturbance? □Yes ⊠No
Has the project area been previously disturbed? ⊠Yes □No □N/A If yes, what percent of the project area has been disturbed? 100%. If only part of the project area has been disturbed, indicate disturbed area on map. Describe disturbance (and attach photo

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0051- CX

Applicant: Harney Electric Co.

Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558

of disturbed area if you have one):

6. Legal Description: T.45 N., R 28 E., sec. 12, SW¹/₄NW¹/₄, W¹/₂SW¹/₄;

Sec. 13, S½NE¼, NW¼NW¼, SW¼NW¼.

T. 45 N., R. 29 E., sec. 14, NE¹/₄SW¹/₄, NW¹/₄SE¹/₄.

USGS 24k Quad name: Vicksburg Canyon

100k map name: Denio

Land Status: ⊠ BLM □ Private □ Other_____.

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0051- CX

Applicant: Harney Electric Co. Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558

Part I: Plan Conformance Review
The Proposed Action is subject to the:
⊠Paradise-Denio Management Framework Plan
☐Sonoma-Gerlach Management Framework Plan
☐Black Rock Desert-High Rock Canyon Emigrant Trails NCA and Associated Wilderness and Other Contiguous Lands in Nevada RMP
The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable Land Use Plan (LUP) because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):
The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions):
The Paradise-Denio MFP is silent on ROWs. In accordance with FLPMA Section 501(1), the BLM are authorized to grant, issue, or renew rights-of-way over, upon, under or through such lands
Part II: NEPA Review
Categorical Exclusion Review: This Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under:
□43 CFR 46.210 DOI Implementation of NEPA of 1969, Listing of Departmental Categorical Exclusions (<i>formerly 516 DM2 Appendix 1</i>)
⊠516 DM 11.9, (BLM) E. Realty #9: Renewals and assignments of leases, permits, or rights-of-way where no additional rights are conveyed beyond those granted by the original authorizations.

Applicant: Harney Electric Co.

Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558

ESA and BLM Sensitive Status Species

Evaluation Criteria	Yes	No
1. Are species listed under the Endangered Species Act likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in Table 1 below. Verify with USFWS or use approved list.		
2. Are BLM NV Sensitive Species, based upon the current IM, likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in the Table 1 below.	\boxtimes	
3. Could the proposed action result in "take" under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act? If yes, attach appropriate mitigation measures.		\boxtimes

Table 1. Special Status Species that may occur in the project area:

_	Lubic I.	Special Status Species that	may occur i	
ESA	BLM	Common (<i>Scientific</i>) Name	May Be Affected?	Mitigation for BLM Sensitive Species (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization) (Attach ESA Section 7 Compliance to Form, if applicable)
		bighorn sheep (Ovis canadensis)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
	\boxtimes	Townsend's big-eared bat (Corynorhinus townsendii)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
	\boxtimes	western small-footed myotis (<i>Myotis</i> ciliolabrum)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	golden eagle (<i>Aquila</i> chrysaetos)	□Yes ⊠No	
		peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	Preble's shrew (<i>Sorex</i> preblei)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	sage thrasher (Oreoscoptes montanus)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	Brewer's sparrow (Spizella breweri)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	pallid bat (Antrozous pallidus)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	California myotis (<i>Myotis</i> californicus)	□Yes	

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0051- CX Applicant: Harney Electric Co. Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558

ESA	BLM	Common (<i>Scientific</i>) Name	May Be Affected?	Mitigation for BLM Sensitive Species (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization) (Attach ESA Section 7 Compliance to Form, if applicable)
			⊠No	
	\boxtimes	fringed myotis (Myotis thysanodes)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	Brazilian free-tailed bat (Tadarida brasiliensis)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	western pipistrelle (Pipistrellus hesperus)	□Yes ⊠No	
	\boxtimes	big brown bat (<i>Eptesicus</i> fuscus)	□Yes ⊠No	

Table 2. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Consideration

Potential MBTA Species w/in the Project Area Common (Scientific) Name	May Be Affected?	Recommended Mitigation (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization)
black-throated sparrow (Amphispiza bilineata)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
Brewer's blackbird (Euphagus cyanocephalus)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
canyon wren (Catherpes mexicanus)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
gray flycatcher (Empidonax wrightii)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
green-tailed towhee (Pipilo chlorurus)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
rock wren (Salpinctes obsoletus)	□ Yes ⊠ No	

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0051- CX Applicant: Harney Electric Co. Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558

sage sparrow (Amphispiza belli)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
western meadowlark (Sturnella neglecta)	□ Yes ⊠ No	
vesper sparrow (Pooecetes gramineus)	□ Yes ⊠ No	

Mitigation Measures/Remarks (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization):

The Proposed Action has been reviewed to determine if any exceptions described in 43 CFR 46.215 Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances apply. (See attached page)

Part III: DECISION:

I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no other environmental analysis is required.

⊠ Project authorization is subject to mitigation measures identified above. (This is a NEPA Decision. A separate program implementation decision is necessary.)
☐ Based on regulatory authority or law that allows BLM to take action, it is my decision to allow for implementation of the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identified above and attached as stipulations, conditions of approval, terms of conditions, etc. This is a combined NEPA and program implementation decision.
Authorized Official_/s/Mandy DeForest, Acting FM-HRFO Date: _7/26/13 (Signature)

Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities

A person who wishes to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals must do so under 43 CFR 4.411 and must file in the office of the officer who made the decision (not the board), in writing to Vern Graham, Humboldt River Field Office, 5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445. A person served with the decision being appealed must transmit the notice of appeal in time to be filed in the office where it is required to be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of service.

The notice of appeal must give the serial number or other identification of the case and may include a statement of reasons for the appeal, a statement of standing if required by § 4.412(b), and any arguments the appellant wishes to make. Form 1842-1 provides additional information regarding filing an appeal.

No extension of time will be granted for filing a notice of appeal. If a notice of appeal is filed after the grace period provided in §4.401(a), the notice of appeal will not be considered and the case will be closed by the officer from whose decision the appeal is taken. If the appeal is filed during the grace period provided in §4.401(a) and the delay in filing is not waived, as provided in that section, the notice of appeal will not be considered and the appeal will be dismissed by the Board.

The appellant shall serve a copy of the notice of appeal and any statements of reason, written arguments, or briefs under §4.413 on each adverse party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken and on the Office of the Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Regional Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753, Sacramento, California 95825-1890. Service must be accompanied by personally serving a copy to the party or by sending the document by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the address of record in the bureau, no later than 15 days after filing the document.

In addition, within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision you have the right to file a petition for a stay together with your appeal in accordance with the regulations at 43 CFR 4.21. The petition must be served upon the same parties specified above.

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0051- CX

Applicant: Harney Electric Co.

Project Title: Power line ROW Renewal N-35558

Pursuant to 43 CFR 4.47I(c), a petition for stay, if filed, must show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and,
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

43 CFR 4.471 (d) provides that the appellant requesting a stay bears the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

At the conclusion of any document that a party must serve, the party or its representative must sign a written statement certifying that service has been or will be made in accordance with the applicable rules and specifying the date and manner of such service (43 CFR 4.422(c)(2)).